Northern District of California

UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
1,01,1121.11, 222,110	01 01 01 01 01
PERRIS J. LEE,	Case No. <u>18-cv-03689-HSG</u>
Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF
v.	TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION
E. KNOX, et al.,	Re: Dkt. No. 57
Defendants.	
Good cause being shown, Defendants' red	quest for an extension of time to file their
dispositive motion is GRANTED. Dkt. No. 57.	Defendants shall file their dispositive motion by
January 10, 2022. Plaintiff's opposition to the di	spositive motion must be filed with the Court and
served upon Defendants no later than 28 days fro	om the date the motion is filed. Defendants shall
file a reply brief in support of their dispositive m	otion no later than 14 days after the date the
opposition is filed. The motion shall be deemed	submitted as of the date the reply brief is due. No
hearing will be held on the motion.	
This order terminates Dkt. No. 57.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: 11/10/2021	A A
	Harwood & Jell -

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge